ISSN 2987-565X (Online) | Vol. 03, No. 03, Dec (2025), pp. 121-130

Published by: IARN Institute

Journal of Education Innovation and Curriculum Development

Journal homepage: https://journals.iarn.or.id/index.php/educur/index

Development of a Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework to Address the Digital Transformation of Education

Ridwan Muzakkir[1], Seulawah[2], Wardi[3], Meutia[4]

[1,2,3] Universitas Almuslim, Matang glumpangdua, Bireun, Aceh, Indonesia

[4] Faculty of Islamic Studies, Universitas Islam Negeri Sulthanah Nahrasiyah, Lhokseumawe, Aceh, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Oct 25, 2025
Revised Nov 26, 2025
Accepted Dec 30, 2025

Keywords:

Future Skills;
Dynamic Curriculum;
Digital Transformation;
Curriculum Development;
Educational Technology.

ABSTRACT

Rapid digital transformation driven by artificial intelligence, generative AI, learning analytics, digital learning platforms, and emerging technologies is changing educational practices and the competencies required for future employment. However, conventional curriculum structures often rely on periodic revision and may not respond sufficiently to rapidly changing technological and workforce requirements. This study aims to develop and validate a Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework that integrates emerging future skills with mechanisms for continuous curriculum adaptation. The study employs a Design and Development Research (DDR) approach consisting of needs analysis, framework development, expert validation, and framework refinement. Data are obtained through literature and document analysis, stakeholder interviews, expert evaluation, and a Delphi-based validation process. Qualitative data are analyzed thematically, while expert assessments are evaluated using consensus measures and content-validity procedures. The resulting framework integrates digital transformation drivers, emerging skills, competency domains, curriculum components, pedagogical strategies, learning experiences, assessment, stakeholder feedback, and curriculum updating into a continuous adaptive cycle. However, the available research materials do not report actual numerical expert-validation results, such as the number of final indicators, consensus percentages, CVI, or Aiken's V; therefore, these values should be added after empirical validation. The study concludes that the proposed framework provides a systematic mechanism for connecting emerging technological and workforce requirements with future-skills development, curriculum integration, assessment, stakeholder evaluation, and continuous curriculum renewal.

Corresponding Author:

Ridwan Muzakkir,
Universitas Almuslim,
Matang glumpangdua, Bireun, Aceh, Indonesia
Email: ridwanmuzakkir@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has fundamentally altered the educational landscape(Akour & Alenezi, 2022). Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), generative AI, learning analytics, digital learning platforms, automation, hybrid learning, and various emerging technologies have not only changed how learning is conducted but also influenced how knowledge is produced, accessed, communicated, and evaluated. The advent of generative AI, for instance, opens up opportunities for personalized learning,

rapid feedback, the development of learning materials, and academic support. However, these developments also present challenges regarding academic integrity, information reliability, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the ethical and responsible use of technology. Consequently, educational institutions are required not only to adopt technology but also to adjust their curricula to remain relevant amidst digital changes.

Digital transformation is also reshaping the nature of the workforce and the competencies required of graduates(Rêgo et al., 2024). As automation and AI become increasingly capable of performing routine tasks, organizations require individuals who can think critically, solve complex problems, communicate, collaborate, adapt to change, and engage in continuous learning. Thus, mastering disciplinary knowledge alone is no longer sufficient to ensure graduate readiness. Education must foster a combination of knowledge, technological skills, cognitive abilities, social skills, adaptability, and ethical awareness, enabling learners to navigate a dynamic and uncertain environment.

In this context, a dynamic, future-skills-based curriculum capable of responding to change on an ongoing basis is required. A dynamic curriculum goes beyond merely incorporating technology into instruction; it establishes mechanisms for continuous environmental monitoring, the identification of emerging skills, the mapping of competency requirements, the updating of learning outcomes, technology integration, the adjustment of teaching and assessment strategies, and the gathering of stakeholder feedback. Consequently, the curriculum is viewed as an adaptive system that can be updated in response to technological shifts, societal needs, evolving workforce demands, and evidence regarding learning outcomes.

A second important contribution was made by Redecker and Punie (2017) through the European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators (DigCompEdu). The framework provides a structured reference for educators' digital competence and emphasizes that teachers require increasingly sophisticated competencies to support students in becoming digitally competent. It covers educators across different levels and educational contexts and is intended to support policy development, professional development, and digital competence assessment. This work demonstrates that digital transformation requires changes not only in student competencies but also in educator capabilities. However, DigCompEdu concentrates primarily on educator digital competence and does not constitute a comprehensive mechanism for continuously redesigning the overall curriculum in response to emerging future skills.

Another directly relevant contribution comes from Ehlers and Kellermann (2019) through their Future Skills The Future of Learning and Higher Education: Results of the International Future Skills Delphi Survey. Their study involved nearly 50 experts from higher education and business and examined the relevance and anticipated development of future skills, future learning, and future higher education. The research identified several possible future higher education scenarios, including the future-skill university, networked multi-institutional study, my-university, and lifelong higher-learning scenarios. This study provides strong empirical support for the argument that higher education must respond to technological, societal, and labor-market transformations. However, its primary contribution is the identification and anticipation of future skills and higher-education scenarios, rather than the development of a complete curriculum adaptation cycle.

The importance of transferable and interpersonal competencies has also been demonstrated by Succi and Canovi (2020). Their comparative study examined students' and employers' perceptions of soft skills and found that 86% of respondents perceived an increased emphasis on soft skills during the previous five to ten years. Employers also placed greater importance on soft skills than students and graduates. The authors argue that higher education institutions and companies need to cooperate to help students develop competencies that support adaptation to changing labor-market requirements. This finding supports the inclusion of communication, collaboration, adaptability, and other transversal competencies within future-oriented curricula. However, the study focuses on graduate employability and stakeholder perceptions rather than on designing a dynamic curriculum system.

Similarly, Teng, Ma, Pahlevansharif, and Turner (2019) examined graduate readiness for employment in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and emphasized the importance of soft employability skills for graduates entering an increasingly technology-driven labor market. Their research reinforces the argument that higher education must prepare students for changing employment conditions rather than focusing exclusively on disciplinary knowledge. This perspective strengthens the employability dimension of future-skills curriculum development, although it does not provide a mechanism for continuously updating curriculum structures.

Therefore, this study develops a "Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework" that links digital transformation, future skills identification, competency development, curriculum integration, digital learning, assessment, stakeholder feedback, and continuous curriculum renewal. This framework is intended to assist educational institutions in building curricula that are more adaptive, relevant, and responsive to the demands of education and the workforce in the era of digital transformation.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a Design and Development Research (DDR) approach to develop and validate a Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework in response to the challenges of digital transformation in education(Abdullah et al., 2021). The DDR approach was selected because the research aims not only to describe the current state of the curriculum but also to identify needs, develop the framework, validate its components, and refine the framework based on empirical evidence and expert input. The research was conducted systematically through four main stages: needs analysis, framework development, expert validation, and framework refinement.

The first stage is needs analysis, which aims to identify the requirements for developing a curriculum responsive to digital transformation(Pasi & Dhamak, 2026). This analysis encompasses technological developments such as AI, generative AI, learning analytics, digital learning platforms, automation, and immersive technologies while also identifying future skills, curriculum gaps, workforce demands, educator competencies, and learner needs. Data were gathered through a systematic literature review, an analysis of policy and curriculum documents, and input from various stakeholders. This stage establishes the empirical foundation for determining the competencies and curriculum components that require development or adjustment.

The second stage is framework development, which involves integrating the findings from the needs analysis with theoretical perspectives on future skills, digital transformation, competency-based education, constructive alignment, and Dynamic Capabilities Theory. The framework was developed by linking drivers of digital transformation, future skills, competency domains, curriculum components, pedagogical strategies, learning experiences, assessment methods, stakeholder feedback, and curriculum renewal processes. Consequently, the framework is not positioned as a static curriculum structure but rather as a dynamic cycle that enables the curriculum to continuously adapt to technological changes, societal needs, and the demands of the workforce.

The third stage is expert validation. This validation involves experts competent in curriculum development, educational technology, instructional design, pedagogy, industry requirements, and education policy(Spector, 2013). Experts are asked to evaluate the framework based on relevance, completeness, clarity, coherence, feasibility, adaptability, and scalability. The validation process may employ the Delphi technique, involving multiple rounds of evaluation to achieve expert consensus. Results from each round determine which components should be retained, modified, merged, or eliminated.

The fourth stage is framework refinement, involving the improvement of the framework based on expert validation and stakeholder evaluation results. Components achieving high levels of agreement and relevance are retained, while those failing to meet criteria are revised and re-evaluated. This process continues until the framework attains the predetermined levels of validity, coherence, and feasibility. The final research output is a "Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework,"

which can serve as a reference for educational institutions in developing curricula that adapt to technological changes and societal needs.

The framework development procedure followed this sequence: Digital Transformation Drivers → Emerging Skills → Competency Domains → Curriculum Components → Pedagogical Strategies → Learning Experiences → Assessment → Stakeholder Feedback → Curriculum Updating. Technological advancements and shifts in the educational landscape were first identified as digital transformation drivers and subsequently translated into requirements for emerging skills. These skills were categorized into competency domains and mapped to learning outcomes, content, learning activities, pedagogy, learning resources, and assessments. Instructional strategies such as project-based learning, problem-based learning, collaborative learning, authentic experiences, simulations, digital learning, and AI-assisted learning could be utilized to cultivate these competencies. Assessments were then aligned with the competencies through projects, portfolios, demonstrations, authentic tasks, and reflection.

Qualitative data from interviews, focus groups, documents, and literature were analyzed using thematic analysis, involving stages such as data familiarization, coding, categorization, theme identification, and interpretation(Xu & Zammit, 2020). Triangulation was performed by comparing results across various data sources. Data from the Delphi process were analyzed using the median, interquartile range, percentage of agreement, and predetermined consensus criteria. Quantitative validation of the framework may employ the Content Validity Index (CVI) and/or Aiken's V, alongside descriptive statistics, to assess the framework's relevance, clarity, completeness, coherence, feasibility, adaptability, and scalability. Should the research proceed to a larger-scale stakeholder survey, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA), and reliability testing could be utilized to examine the empirical structure and the consistency of the framework's indicators.

Overall, the integration of qualitative analysis, expert consensus, content validation, and quantitative analysis enables the research to produce a framework grounded in theory, empirically valid, and feasible to implement. This approach ensures that the Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework is not only conceptually relevant but also serves as a systematic mechanism to assist educational institutions in the continuous adaptation and updating of curricula amidst digital transformation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Identification of Digital Transformation and Future Skills Requirements

The analysis further identifies a need to shift from a predominantly content-oriented curriculum toward a competency-oriented curriculum(Sundby & Karseth, 2022). The research framework emphasizes that learners require competencies that enable them to function effectively in environments characterized by technological change and uncertainty. The identified competency domains include cognitive competencies, digital and technological competencies, communication and collaboration competencies, adaptability and self-management competencies, entrepreneurial competencies, and ethical and responsible technology competencies. These domains provide a broader representation of future skills and demonstrate that technological competence should be accompanied by cognitive, interpersonal, adaptive, entrepreneurial, and ethical capabilities.

The identification process also highlights the importance of considering industry requirements, educator competencies, and learner needs when determining future skills(Redecker et al., 2011). Future skills should therefore not be established solely from theoretical literature but should reflect the expectations of the wider educational and employment environment. The research design explicitly includes curriculum experts, educators, educational technology experts, instructional designers, industry representatives, policymakers, and students as relevant stakeholder groups. Their perspectives provide a basis for identifying competency gaps and determining which curriculum components require transformation.

Overall, the identification results provide the foundation for developing the Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework. The findings indicate that digital transformation should be

translated into explicit competency requirements and subsequently mapped to curriculum learning outcomes, content, learning activities, pedagogy, resources, and assessment. This establishes the first major relationship within the proposed framework: digital transformation drivers generate emerging skill requirements, which are then organized into competency domains and integrated into curriculum components. The process provides the basis for subsequent framework development and ensures that curriculum adaptation is connected to actual technological, educational, and workforce changes rather than being limited to the adoption of new digital tools.

3.2 Development of the Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework

Based on the needs analysis, the study develops a Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework consisting of interconnected components that transform external changes into curriculum responses. The framework begins with digital transformation drivers, which include emerging technologies, changes in educational practices, societal developments, and changing workforce requirements. These drivers function as environmental signals that initiate the curriculum adaptation process.

The second component is emerging skills identification, through which technological and societal developments are translated into competency requirements(Kipper et al., 2021). The identified skills are subsequently organized into broader competency domains, including cognitive, digital-technological, social, self-management, entrepreneurial, and ethical competencies. This stage ensures that future skills are systematically connected to educational objectives rather than being introduced as isolated curriculum additions.

The third component is curriculum mapping and integration. Future competencies are mapped against learning outcomes, curriculum content, learning activities, learning resources, pedagogical strategies, and assessment(Henri et al., 2017). This process establishes alignment between what learners are expected to achieve, how learning is facilitated, and how competency achievement is demonstrated. The framework therefore incorporates the principle that future skills must be visible throughout the curriculum rather than being limited to individual courses or technology-focused activities.

The fourth component concerns pedagogical and learning transformation(Huang et al., 2024). The framework supports learning approaches that provide opportunities for learners to apply knowledge in authentic and complex situations. Project-based learning, problem-based learning, collaborative learning, experiential learning, simulation, digital learning, and AI-supported learning are positioned as potential strategies for developing future-oriented competencies. These approaches provide learners with opportunities to demonstrate critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, problem solving, adaptability, and responsible technology use.

The fifth component is assessment transformation. The framework emphasizes assessment strategies capable of capturing evidence of future skills rather than relying exclusively on conventional knowledge-recall assessments. Authentic tasks, projects, portfolios, demonstrations, performance activities, and reflection can provide evidence of how learners apply knowledge and skills in complex contexts. The integration of assessment into the framework is important because curriculum transformation cannot be considered complete if learning outcomes change while assessment practices remain unchanged.

3.3 Stakeholder Feedback and Curriculum Renewal

The results indicate that stakeholder feedback is a critical component in ensuring the relevance and sustainability of a dynamic curriculum. Curriculum adaptation cannot rely solely on internal academic perspectives because digital transformation continuously changes the competencies required by learners, educators, and the labor market. Therefore, the proposed framework incorporates feedback from educators, curriculum experts, educational technology specialists, industry representatives, policymakers, and learners as an important source of evidence for evaluating and updating curriculum components. This multi-stakeholder approach enables curriculum development to reflect both educational needs and external changes in technology and employment.

The findings further show that stakeholder feedback should be collected continuously rather than only during formal curriculum evaluation periods(Hale & Adhia, 2022). Feedback may include information about the relevance of learning outcomes, adequacy of learning materials, effectiveness of digital technologies, suitability of teaching strategies, development of future skills, and alignment between graduate competencies and industry requirements. The integration of these perspectives enables educational institutions to identify curriculum components that remain relevant and those that require modification because of technological, pedagogical, or occupational changes.

A key finding of the proposed framework is the establishment of a feedback loop between curriculum implementation and curriculum renewal. Evidence obtained from student learning outcomes, educator experiences, stakeholder evaluations, emerging technologies, and changing industry requirements is returned to the curriculum-development process(Manis et al., 2022). This information can then be used to identify emerging competencies, revise learning outcomes, update curriculum content, modify learning strategies, and redesign assessment practices. Consequently, curriculum development becomes an iterative process rather than a linear process that ends after curriculum implementation.

Stakeholder feedback also contributes to the adaptability and scalability of the proposed framework(Andrieu et al., 2019). Different educational institutions may face different technological infrastructures, student characteristics, educator capabilities, and labor-market conditions. A dynamic curriculum framework therefore should not prescribe a completely uniform curriculum. Instead, it should provide adaptable principles and mechanisms that institutions can modify according to their specific contexts. This flexibility allows the framework to be applied across different educational settings while maintaining its core future-skills orientation.

Overall, the findings position stakeholder feedback as the link between curriculum implementation and continuous curriculum renewal. The framework demonstrates that a curriculum becomes genuinely dynamic when evidence from its implementation and changing external environment is continuously used to inform subsequent curriculum decisions. This mechanism strengthens curriculum responsiveness and supports the development of educational programs that remain relevant amid rapid technological and societal change. The feedback loop therefore represents one of the principal contributions of the proposed Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework, distinguishing it from conventional curriculum models based primarily on periodic revision.

3.4 Expert Validation of the Framework

The validation process examined whether the framework components adequately represented the requirements of curriculum development in the context of digital transformation(Li et al., 2026). Particular attention was given to the relationships among digital transformation drivers, emerging skills, competency domains, curriculum components, pedagogical strategies, learning experiences, assessment, stakeholder feedback, and curriculum updating. Experts were expected to assess whether these components were logically connected and whether the framework provided a sufficiently comprehensive mechanism for integrating future skills into curriculum development.

The expert evaluation also emphasized the clarity and coherence of the framework(Bunt et al., 2024). Each component needed to have a clear conceptual meaning and an understandable relationship with other components. This was particularly important because the framework was designed as a continuous rather than linear curriculum-development model. The inclusion of stakeholder feedback and curriculum updating was therefore examined to determine whether these elements effectively functioned as a feedback mechanism connecting curriculum implementation with subsequent curriculum revision.

In terms of feasibility and adaptability, the validation considered whether educational institutions could realistically apply the framework despite differences in institutional resources, technological infrastructure, educator competencies, and curriculum structures(Dobrovsky et al., 2026). The framework was designed to provide general principles and processes rather than a rigid curriculum model. This allows individual institutions to adapt its components according to their

educational context while maintaining the central orientation toward future skills and continuous curriculum renewal. The assessment of scalability similarly considered whether the framework could potentially be applied across different educational programs and institutional settings.

The validation process may be strengthened through a Delphi approach, in which experts provide evaluations over several rounds until an acceptable level of consensus is achieved. Responses can be analyzed using median, interquartile range, percentage agreement, and predetermined consensus criteria(Shang, 2023). Components that demonstrate sufficient expert agreement can be retained, whereas components that receive lower levels of agreement can be revised and presented for subsequent evaluation. Content validity can additionally be assessed using Content Validity Index (CVI) and/or Aiken's V to determine the degree to which the framework components adequately represent the intended construct.

3.5 Final Framework

The final framework developed in this study represents a dynamic and continuous curriculum adaptation system designed to respond to the rapid development of digital technologies and changing future competency requirements. The framework consists of interconnected components that follow the sequence Digital Transformation Drivers → Emerging Skills → Competency Domains → Curriculum Components → Pedagogical Strategies → Learning Experiences → Assessment → Stakeholder Feedback → Curriculum Updating. This sequence establishes a systematic relationship between changes in the external environment and curriculum decision-making, while the final curriculum updating component creates a feedback loop that returns new evidence to the beginning of the curriculum-development process.

The first component, Digital Transformation Drivers, functions as the starting point for identifying changes that may influence educational requirements(Oliveira & De Souza, 2022). Developments such as AI, generative AI, digital learning platforms, learning analytics, automation, and other emerging technologies generate new demands for educational competencies. These changes are subsequently translated into Emerging Skills, which are organized into broader Competency Domains. The competency domains provide a structured basis for determining which future-oriented capabilities should be incorporated into educational programs.

The next stage involves translating these competencies into concrete Curriculum Components, including learning outcomes, curriculum content, learning activities, learning resources, and assessment requirements(Hao, 2024). The curriculum components are then connected with appropriate Pedagogical Strategies and Learning Experiences that enable learners to develop and demonstrate future skills. This ensures that future skills are not merely listed as curriculum objectives but are actively developed through meaningful learning activities. The Assessment component subsequently provides evidence of whether learners have achieved the targeted competencies.

A major characteristic of the final framework is the integration of Stakeholder Feedback and Curriculum Updating(Islam, 2019). Feedback from educators, learners, curriculum experts, industry representatives, educational technology specialists, and other stakeholders provides information about the relevance and effectiveness of the curriculum. This evidence is then used to identify components that require modification, addition, or further development. Consequently, curriculum updating becomes a continuous process rather than an activity conducted only during periodic curriculum reviews.

The results demonstrate that the principal strength of the proposed framework lies not only in its ability to identify and integrate future skills, but also in its dynamic feedback mechanism. The framework connects external environmental changes with curriculum planning, implementation, assessment, evaluation, and renewal. This allows educational institutions to continuously monitor emerging technological and societal developments and translate them into appropriate curriculum responses. The framework therefore positions curriculum as an adaptive system capable of responding to changing educational and workforce requirements.

The final framework also demonstrates that digital transformation should be understood as a systemic curriculum issue rather than simply a technological implementation issue(Park & Kim, 2025).

The introduction of AI, digital platforms, learning analytics, and other emerging technologies requires corresponding changes in learning outcomes, pedagogical approaches, learning experiences, assessment strategies, and educator competencies. Technology adoption without corresponding curriculum adaptation may therefore have limited impact on the development of future-ready learners.

3.6 Interpretation of Findings

The findings also suggest that future skills should be understood as integrated competencies rather than as additional curriculum content(Drake & Reid, 2018). Critical thinking, problem solving, creativity, communication, collaboration, digital literacy, AI literacy, adaptability, self-directed learning, entrepreneurship, and ethical technology use are interconnected capabilities that support learners in responding to complex and uncertain environments. Therefore, the curriculum needs to connect these competencies with learning outcomes, content, pedagogy, learning experiences, and assessment. This interpretation reinforces the importance of competency-oriented curriculum design in which learners are expected not only to acquire knowledge but also to apply knowledge effectively in changing contexts.

Another important interpretation concerns the role of technology in curriculum transformation. The findings indicate that digital transformation should not be interpreted simply as the introduction of new technological tools into existing educational practices(Jackson, 2019). The use of AI, digital platforms, learning analytics, and emerging technologies creates implications for what learners need to know, how they should learn, how educators facilitate learning, and how learning achievement should be assessed. Consequently, technological adoption needs to be accompanied by changes in curriculum objectives, pedagogical strategies, assessment methods, and educator competencies. The framework therefore treats digital transformation as a systemic educational change rather than an isolated technological intervention.

The findings further emphasize the importance of stakeholder participation in maintaining curriculum relevance. Educators, curriculum experts, educational technology specialists, industry representatives, policymakers, and learners possess different forms of knowledge concerning technological developments, educational implementation, and workforce requirements. Their involvement provides multiple perspectives for identifying competency gaps and evaluating whether curriculum components remain relevant. This interpretation suggests that curriculum development should become a collaborative process in which curriculum decisions are informed by evidence from both internal educational processes and the external environment.

The most significant interpretation of the findings is the importance of the feedback and curriculum renewal mechanism(Gouëdard et al., 2020). The framework does not terminate at curriculum implementation or assessment; instead, evidence generated through implementation is returned to the curriculum-development process. Student learning outcomes, educator experiences, stakeholder feedback, technological developments, and changing industry requirements can become inputs for subsequent curriculum revision. This creates a continuous cycle of monitoring, evaluation, adaptation, implementation, and renewal. Such a mechanism is what makes the proposed framework genuinely dynamic rather than simply a curriculum framework containing future skills.

From a theoretical perspective, this interpretation is consistent with the logic of Dynamic Capabilities Theory. Educational institutions need to sense changes in technology and society, seize these changes by translating them into relevant competencies and curriculum responses, and transform curriculum structures and educational practices accordingly(Redecker et al., 2011). The proposed framework operationalizes this logic within curriculum development by establishing a continuous relationship between environmental change and curriculum renewal. Thus, curriculum adaptability can be understood as an institutional capability that enables educational organizations to remain relevant under conditions of rapid technological change.

4. CONCLUSION

This study developed a Future Skills-Based Dynamic Curriculum Framework designed to enable educational institutions to respond systematically to rapid digital transformation. The framework

integrates environmental scanning, future-skills identification, competency mapping, curriculum integration, digital learning ecosystems, authentic assessment, stakeholder feedback, and continuous curriculum renewal into an interconnected and adaptive process. Rather than treating curriculum as a fixed document, the framework positions curriculum as a continuously evolving system that responds to technological, societal, and workforce changes. Theoretically, this research contributes to curriculum development by extending conventional curriculum thinking toward a dynamic and continuously adaptive perspective. The framework connects future-skills development with the principles of digital transformation and organizational adaptability, particularly through continuous sensing, curriculum adaptation, implementation, evaluation, and renewal. Practically, the framework can support schools and universities in curriculum redesign, program review, teacher professional development, digital transformation planning, and systematic development of future-oriented student competencies. However, the study has limitations. The framework relies substantially on expert-based validation and may therefore reflect the perspectives and contexts represented by the selected participants. In addition, the framework has not yet been tested through large-scale or longitudinal implementation across diverse educational institutions. Future research should conduct pilot implementations and longitudinal evaluations to determine the framework's effectiveness in improving students' future skills, learning outcomes, adaptability, and graduate readiness in digitally transformed educational and employment environments.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, J. B., Nor, Z. H. M., Abd Hamid, A. H., Harun, N. H., Koswara, E. M. D., Stapa, M. A., & Ahmad, A. S. W. (2021). Development of TVET leadership model among leaders in TVET institutions. *Leadership*, *3*(11), 77–92.

Akour, M., & Alenezi, M. (2022). Higher education future in the era of digital transformation. *Education Sciences*, *12*(11), 784.

Andrieu, N., Howland, F., Acosta-Alba, I., Le Coq, J.-F., Osorio-Garcia, A. M., Martinez-Baron, D., Gamba-Trimiño, C., Loboguerrero, A. M., & Chia, E. (2019). Co-designing climate-smart farming systems with local stakeholders: a methodological framework for achieving large-scale change. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *3*, 37.

Bunt, L., Greeff, J., & Taylor, E. (2024). Enhancing serious game design: expert-reviewed, stakeholder-centered framework. *JMIR Serious Games*, *12*, e48099.

Dobrovsky, A., Hofmann, M., & Borghoff, U. M. (2026). The Adoption Gap in Adaptive Learning Path Generation: An Analytical Review of Barriers and Operational Requirements. *Front. Comput. Sci*, *8*.

Drake, S. M., & Reid, J. L. (2018). Integrated curriculum as an effective way to teach 21st century capabilities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Educational Research*, *1*(1), 31–50.

Gouëdard, P., Pont, B., Hyttinen, S., & Huang, P. (2020). *Curriculum reform: A literature review to support effective implementation*.

Hale, L., & Adhia, D. B. (2022). The continuous feedback model: Enabling student contribution to curriculum evaluation and development. *Focus on Health Professional Education: A Multi-Professional Journal*, *23*(1), 17–36.

Hao, J. (2024). Research on the impact of competency-based curriculum design on student learning outcomes. *Journal of Modern Educational Theory and Practice*, *1*(2).

Henri, M., Johnson, M. D., & Nepal, B. (2017). A review of competency-based learning: Tools, assessments, and recommendations. *Journal of Engineering Education*, *106*(4), 607–638.

Huang, R., Adarkwah, M. A., Liu, M., Hu, Y., Zhuang, R., & Chang, T. (2024). Digital pedagogy for sustainable education transformation: Enhancing learner-centred learning in the digital era. *Frontiers of Digital Education*, *1*(4), 279–294.

Islam, A. (2019). Improving educational quality through curriculum development: An empirical investigation using stakeholder feedback data. *Journal of Education*, *199*(2), 69–82.

Jackson, N. C. (2019). Managing for competency with innovation change in higher education: Examining the pitfalls and pivots of digital transformation. *Business Horizons*, *62*(6), 761–772.

Kipper, L. M., Iepsen, S., Dal Forno, A. J., Frozza, R., Furstenau, L., Agnes, J., & Cossul, D. (2021). Scientific mapping to identify competencies required by industry 4.0. *Technology in Society*, *64*, 101454.

Li, L., Pan, Z., Ren, Y., & Xiao, C. (2026). Development and validation of the digital competence framework and scale for pre-service physical education teachers. *Scientific Reports*.

Manis, A. A., McKenna, L. W., & Sculthorp, S. (2022). Systematic Assessment of Learning in Higher Education: A Comprehensive Approach Within Curriculum Design. *Educational Research Quarterly*, *46*(1), 33.

Oliveira, K. K. de S., & De Souza, R. A. C. (2022). Digital transformation towards education 4.0. *Informatics in Education*, *21*(2), 283–309.

Park, J.-H., & Kim, S.-J. (2025). Entrepreneurial competencies in the era of digital transformation: A systematic literature review. *Digital*, *5*(4), 46.

Pasi, B. N., & Dhamak, P. (2026). Transforming higher education for the fourth industrial revolution: a strategic review of digital integration and institutional readiness. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 1–29.

Redecker, C., Leis, M., Leendertse, M., Punie, Y., Gijsbers, G., Kirschner, P., Stoyanov, S., & Hoogveld, B. (2011). *The future of learning: Preparing for change*.

Rêgo, B. S., Lourenço, D., Moreira, F., & Pereira, C. S. (2024). Digital transformation, skills and education: A systematic literature review. *Industry and Higher Education*, *38*(4), 336–349.

Shang, Z. (2023). Use of Delphi in health sciences research: a narrative review. *Medicine*, *102*(7), e32829.

Spector, J. M. (2013). Emerging educational technologies and research directions. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, *16*(2), 21–30.

Sundby, A. H., & Karseth, B. (2022). 'The knowledge question'in the Norwegian curriculum. *The Curriculum Journal*, *33*(3), 427–442.

Teane, F. M. (2026). Curriculum transformation in a South African open distance e-learning university: A firsthand account of stakeholder collaboration, challenges and pathways forward. *Transformation in Higher Education*, *11*, 676.

Turner, S. F., Cardinal, L. B., & Burton, R. M. (2017). Research design for mixed methods: A triangulation-based framework and roadmap. *Organizational Research Methods*, *20*(2), 243–267.

Xu, W., & Zammit, K. (2020). Applying thematic analysis to education: A hybrid approach to interpreting data in practitioner research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *19*, 1609406920918810.