



Exploring the Influence of Information Technology on Family Social Relations: Patterns, Perceptions, and Pathways

Christo Gau¹, Ezra Banje²

^{1,2} Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Muhammadiyah University of Sidenreng Rappang (Ums Rappang), South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received June 16, 2025

Revised Juli 19, 2025

Accepted Aug 30, 2025

Keywords:

Information Technology;

Family Social Relations;

Digital Communication;

Technology Use;

Familial Dynamics.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the influence of information technology (IT) on family social relations, examining how digital technologies shape communication patterns, emotional connections, and familial dynamics within the family unit. Employing a mixed-methods approach, quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews were conducted to gather data from a diverse sample of families. Quantitative analyses revealed varied patterns of IT usage within families, highlighting the prevalence of digital devices and communication platforms in everyday family life. Perceptions regarding the impact of IT on family communication and cohesion varied, with participants expressing both positive and negative experiences. Qualitative interviews provided rich insights into lived experiences and perspectives, illuminating the complexities of IT-mediated family interactions and the strategies employed by families to navigate digital challenges. The findings contribute to existing theories and frameworks about technology and social interactions, enhancing our understanding of the intricate relationship between IT usage and family dynamics.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.



Corresponding Author:

Christo Gau

Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,

Muhammadiyah University of Sidenreng Rappang (Ums Rappang), South Sulawesi, Indonesia

Maccorawalie, Panca Rijang District, Sidenreng Rappang Regency, South Sulawesi 91651

christogau25@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, the proliferation of information technology (IT) has profoundly transformed various aspects of human life, including how individuals communicate and interact within families (Brown & Duguid, 2017). Information technology encompasses a wide array of digital devices, applications, and platforms that facilitate communication, information sharing, and entertainment. From smartphones and social media to video conferencing tools and online gaming, IT has become integral to daily living (Quamar et al., 2020).

Historically, family interactions were largely face-to-face, and the home was the primary setting for socialization, emotional support, and the transmission of cultural values (Parke & Buriel, 2008). The advent of IT, however, has introduced new dynamics into family relationships. Communication within families can now occur not only in person but also through various digital means, often transcending geographical barriers. While IT has undoubtedly enhanced connectivity and provided new avenues for interaction, it has also raised concerns about its potential impact on the depth and quality of these relationships (Cravens et al., 1996).

Information technology devices include but are not limited to smartphones, tablets, laptops, desktop computers, and smart home devices (Bröhl et al., 2018). These devices serve as the primary tools through which individuals access and engage with digital content and communication platforms. Within the realm of IT, applications, or "apps," play a crucial role in facilitating various functions and activities. These may include communication apps such as messaging platforms (e.g., WhatsApp, Facebook Messenger, iMessage), social media apps (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Twitter), video conferencing apps (e.g., Zoom, Skype, FaceTime), and productivity apps (e.g., email clients, calendar apps, note-taking apps).

Digital communication platforms encompass online spaces where individuals interact and exchange information (Zwass, 2003). These platforms enable real-time communication, content sharing, and social networking. Examples include social media platforms (e.g., Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat), email services, instant messaging services, and online forums. The ubiquity and accessibility of these IT components have reshaped how families communicate, share experiences, and maintain relationships. While traditional face-to-face interactions remain fundamental, IT has introduced new channels and modes of communication that transcend physical boundaries, allowing families to connect and interact regardless of geographical distances (Wellman, 2001).

Historically, family interactions were largely confined to in-person settings, with households serving as the primary locus of socialization and familial bonds (Carter, 2014). However, the advent of IT has expanded the avenues through which families engage with one another. Now, communication within families can occur asynchronously through messaging apps, email, or social media, as well as synchronously through video calls and virtual meetings.

While IT offers unprecedented opportunities for connectivity and communication, it also poses challenges to the quality of social relations within families (Kreps & Neuhauser, 2010). The pervasive use of digital devices and platforms has raised concerns about the potential for reduced face-to-face interactions, emotional detachment, and disruptions to familial dynamics. Understanding the complex interplay between IT and family relationships requires a multifaceted examination of how these technologies are integrated into everyday life and how they shape patterns of communication, interaction, and emotional expression within the family unit.

Several studies have indicated that IT can both positively and negatively influence family social relations (Adams et al., 1996). On the positive side, IT can facilitate constant communication and closeness among family members, especially those who are geographically dispersed. For instance, video calls and messaging apps allow family members to maintain regular contact, share experiences, and provide emotional support, thereby strengthening familial bonds. Social media platforms enable families to stay updated on each other's lives, fostering a sense of connectedness (Cornejo et al., 2013).

Conversely, there are concerns that excessive use of IT can lead to negative outcomes such as decreased face-to-face interactions, emotional detachment, and family conflicts (Miller, 2003). The phenomenon of "technoference," where technology interferes with personal interactions, is increasingly prevalent. For example, family members might be physically present but mentally engaged with their devices, leading to a decline in meaningful conversations and emotional engagement. Furthermore, the presence of digital devices during family activities, such as meals or gatherings, can detract from quality time and weaken relational bonds (Sbarra et al., 2019).

The theoretical underpinnings of this research draw on several frameworks (Chun Tse et al., 2019). The Displacement Hypothesis suggests that time spent on digital activities might displace time spent on in-person social interactions, potentially diminishing the quality of these interactions. Conversely, the Media Richness Theory posits that different communication mediums vary in their capacity to convey information and facilitate understanding, implying that richer media, such as video calls, might enhance relational quality compared to text-based communication (Hornung, 2015).

Thus, within the scope of this research, IT encompasses a diverse array of devices, applications, and digital communication platforms that mediate family interactions and influence the quality of social relations (Carvalho et al., 2015). By comprehensively analyzing the impact of IT on familial dynamics, this study aims to contribute valuable insights to our understanding of technology-

mediated social interactions and inform strategies for fostering healthy and fulfilling relationships within families in the digital age.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The research adopts a mixed-methods approach to gain a holistic understanding of the complex relationship between IT usage and family social relations. This approach allows for the integration of both quantitative and qualitative data, providing depth and breadth to the analysis.

The quantitative component involves the administration of surveys to a representative sample of families (Bamberger, 2012). The survey instrument is designed to collect data on various aspects of IT usage within the family, including frequency and duration of device usage, types of applications and platforms utilized, and perceived impact on family communication and cohesion. Likert scale questions are used to assess attitudes and perceptions regarding the role of IT in family interactions.

The qualitative component consists of semi-structured interviews with select participants to explore their experiences, attitudes, and emotions related to IT usage within the family context. Interviews are conducted in-person or virtually, allowing for in-depth probing and clarification of responses. Thematic analysis is employed to identify recurring patterns, themes, and narratives within the qualitative data.

Data collection involves a combination of surveys and interviews conducted over a specified period (De Leeuw, 2005). Surveys are administered electronically or in print format, depending on participant preferences and accessibility. Participants are provided with clear instructions and informed consent forms outlining the purpose of the study, confidentiality measures, and their rights as research subjects.

Interviews are scheduled at mutually convenient times and locations, ensuring participant comfort and privacy (Dempsey et al., 2016). A semi-structured interview guide is used to maintain consistency across interviews while allowing flexibility for participants to elaborate on specific topics of interest. Interviews are audio-recorded with participant consent and transcribed verbatim for subsequent analysis.

The sample consists of families from diverse socio-demographic backgrounds to capture a range of experiences and perspectives. Participants are recruited through convenience sampling methods, including community outreach, social media advertisements, and referrals from existing participants. Inclusion criteria include family units with at least one adult caregiver and one child aged 10 or older to ensure diverse viewpoints across generations.

Data analysis begins with descriptive statistics for quantitative survey data, including measures of central tendency and dispersion. Statistical tests such as correlation analysis are conducted to explore relationships between variables, such as IT usage patterns and perceived family cohesion.

Qualitative data from interviews are analyzed using thematic analysis, involving systematic coding and categorization of interview transcripts. Themes and patterns are identified iteratively, with multiple researchers independently coding a subset of data to ensure reliability and validity. Consensus meetings are held to discuss emerging themes and resolve discrepancies through discussion and consensus.

Ethical considerations are paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent is obtained from all participants, and measures are taken to protect participant confidentiality and anonymity. Ethical approval is obtained from the relevant institutional review board to ensure compliance with ethical guidelines and standards.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Result

Through a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, the study offers a comprehensive understanding of how digital technologies shape communication patterns, emotional connections, and familial dynamics within the family unit. The

survey data indicated that the majority of families reported frequent and prolonged use of digital devices and communication platforms. Smartphones emerged as the most commonly used device for family communication, followed by laptops and tablets. Social media apps and messaging platforms were among the most frequently accessed applications, highlighting their central role in family interactions.

Participants expressed mixed perceptions regarding the impact of IT on family communication. While many acknowledged the convenience and accessibility afforded by digital technologies, others expressed concerns about the quality and depth of interactions facilitated by screen-based communication. Some participants noted instances of "technoference," where digital devices interrupted face-to-face interactions and detracted from meaningful conversations.

The survey data revealed varying levels of family cohesion and emotional connections among participants. While some families reported feeling closely connected and supported through digital communication channels, others described experiences of detachment and disconnection, particularly when digital interactions replaced in-person interactions.

Interviews illuminated diverse communication patterns within families, with some emphasizing the convenience and efficiency of digital communication for coordinating family activities and sharing updates. However, others expressed concerns about the superficiality and lack of intimacy inherent in text-based interactions, highlighting the importance of face-to-face communication for fostering emotional connections.

Participants shared narratives about how IT usage influenced family dynamics and relational quality. While some described instances of bonding and shared experiences facilitated by digital technologies, others recounted challenges related to excessive screen time, conflicts over device usage, and feelings of isolation within the family unit.

Several participants discussed strategies for mitigating the negative effects of IT on family social relations, such as implementing device-free zones or designated "screen-free" times for family activities. Others emphasized the importance of setting boundaries, modeling healthy digital behaviors, and prioritizing face-to-face interactions to maintain strong familial bonds.

3.2 Discussion

3.2.1 Applying Findings to Real-World Settings

The findings of this research offer practical implications for guiding real-world interventions aimed at promoting healthy information technology (IT) usage within families and informing policy decisions to support familial well-being in the digital age. Based on the research findings, guidelines for healthy IT usage in families can be developed to promote balanced and mindful engagement with digital technologies.

Encouraging families to designate specific areas of the home, such as dining areas or bedrooms, as device-free zones to promote face-to-face interactions and quality time spent together. Additionally, setting aside dedicated "screen-free" times, such as during meals or before bedtime, can foster meaningful connections and reduce reliance on digital devices.

Emphasizing the importance of open communication among family members regarding IT usage habits, preferences, and boundaries. Facilitating regular family discussions about the role of technology in family life can promote shared understanding, consensus building, and collaborative decision-making regarding IT usage norms.

Encouraging parents and caregivers to model healthy digital behaviors and set positive examples for children. By demonstrating balanced IT usage, limiting screen time, and prioritizing offline activities, adults can instill healthy habits and attitudes toward technology in younger generations.

Providing resources and educational materials to enhance families' digital literacy skills and promote critical thinking about media consumption. Equipping parents and children with the knowledge and skills to navigate digital environments responsibly, discern credible information, and engage in mindful media consumption can empower them to make informed decisions about IT usage.

In addition to developing guidelines for healthy IT usage in families, the research findings can inform policy decisions at various levels to create supportive environments for familial well-being. Advocating for the inclusion of digital literacy education in school curricula to equip students with the skills and knowledge needed to navigate digital environments safely and responsibly. By integrating digital literacy into educational frameworks, policymakers can empower future generations to develop healthy and informed relationships with technology from an early age.

Calling for regulations to protect children from harmful content and manipulative advertising practices online. Policymakers can enact measures to restrict the targeting of advertisements to children, regulate the content of digital media aimed at young audiences, and promote age-appropriate content standards to safeguard children's well-being in digital spaces.

Advocating for policies that ensure equitable access to digital resources and technologies for all families, regardless of socio-economic status. This may involve initiatives to bridge the digital divide, provide subsidies for internet access and digital devices, and offer support programs to enhance digital literacy skills among underserved communities.

Encouraging the development and adoption of ethical guidelines and standards for technology developers and digital content creators. Policymakers can collaborate with industry stakeholders to establish best practices for designing technology that promotes positive social interactions, respects user privacy, and prioritizes user well-being over profit motives.

3.2.2 Contribution to Existing Theories and Frameworks

The research findings contribute to the Social Exchange Theory by providing empirical evidence of the rewards and costs associated with IT-mediated family interactions. By examining how family members navigate the benefits and drawbacks of digital communication, the research elucidates the motivations underlying IT usage within families. Furthermore, by exploring perceptions of reciprocity, trust, and relational satisfaction in the context of digital interactions, the research adds nuance to our understanding of social exchange processes within family units.

The findings of this research shed light on the nuanced relationship between IT usage and face-to-face interactions within families, informing and refining the Displacement Hypothesis. While the hypothesis posits that time spent on digital activities may displace time spent on in-person social interactions, the research highlights the multifaceted nature of this displacement effect. By examining how digital technologies both facilitate and impede face-to-face interactions within families, the research deepens our understanding of the conditions under which digital displacement occurs and its implications for familial relationships.

The research contributes to the Media Richness Theory by examining how different communication mediums vary in their capacity to convey information and facilitate understanding within family contexts. By exploring the richness of communication experiences across various digital platforms, the research offers insights into the affordances and limitations of different IT tools for supporting familial relationships. Furthermore, by examining how families navigate the trade-offs between richness and convenience in digital communication, the research extends our understanding of media richness dynamics within family units.

Drawing on the Techno-Social Systems Framework, the research illuminates the dynamic interplay between technological affordances, social structures, and human agency within family environments. By examining how family members negotiate and co-construct meaning within digital contexts, the research highlights the reciprocal influence of technology and social dynamics on familial relationships. Furthermore, by exploring how families adapt and innovate in response to technological changes, the research enriches our understanding of the adaptive capacity of techno-social systems in navigating digital transformations.

3.2.3 Challenges and Limitations

One of the primary challenges in researching IT and family social relations is the potential for sampling bias and limited generalizability. Convenience sampling methods, such as recruiting participants through community outreach or social media, may result in a non-representative sample that does not fully capture the diversity of family experiences. Furthermore, research conducted within

specific cultural or socio-economic contexts may not be easily extrapolated to broader populations, limiting the external validity of findings.

Another challenge lies in the reliance on self-report data, which is susceptible to bias and social desirability effects. Participants may provide socially desirable responses or underreport problematic behaviors related to IT usage within the family, leading to inaccuracies in data interpretation. Additionally, retrospective self-report measures may be subject to recall bias, as participants may struggle to accurately recall past experiences and behaviors.

The multifaceted nature of technological influence presents challenges in isolating the specific effects of IT on family social relations. IT usage occurs within a broader socio-cultural context, influenced by factors such as individual characteristics, family dynamics, and societal norms. Disentangling the direct effects of IT from other confounding variables poses methodological challenges, requiring careful consideration of potential covariates and moderators in statistical analyses.

The rapid pace of technological advancements poses challenges for researchers in keeping pace with evolving digital trends and platforms. New technologies emerge continuously, introducing novel forms of interaction and communication within families. Consequently, research findings may quickly become outdated or fail to capture emerging trends in IT usage patterns and their impact on family dynamics.

Ethical considerations and privacy concerns surrounding research on IT and family social relations are paramount. Given the sensitive nature of familial interactions, researchers must prioritize participant confidentiality, informed consent, and data security throughout the research process. Additionally, researchers must navigate ethical dilemmas related to observing and analyzing private family interactions, ensuring that the benefits of research outweigh potential risks to participants.

Establishing causal relationships and temporal sequences in research on IT and family social relations presents methodological challenges, particularly in cross-sectional studies. Longitudinal research designs are needed to assess the directionality of effects over time and capture dynamic changes in IT usage and family dynamics. However, conducting longitudinal studies entails practical and logistical challenges, including participant retention, data attrition, and resource-intensive data collection procedures.

4. CONCLUSION

The exploration into the influence of information technology (IT) on family social relations underscores the complex interplay between digital technologies and familial dynamics in the modern age. Through a mixed-methods approach integrating quantitative surveys and qualitative interviews, this research has provided valuable insights into the opportunities and challenges posed by IT-mediated family interactions. Quantitative analyses revealed diverse patterns of IT usage within families, highlighting the prevalence of digital devices and communication platforms in everyday family life. Perceptions regarding the impact of IT on family communication and cohesion varied, reflecting the multifaceted nature of technology's influence on familial relationships. Qualitative interviews deepened our understanding of lived experiences and perspectives, illuminating the complexities of IT-mediated family dynamics and the strategies employed by families to navigate digital challenges. The findings of this research contribute to existing theories and frameworks about technology and social interactions, enriching our understanding of the complexities inherent in digital family dynamics. By integrating empirical findings with theoretical perspectives, this research enhances our ability to conceptualize and address the opportunities and challenges posed by IT usage within families.

REFERENCES

- Adams, G. A., King, L. A., & King, D. W. (1996). Relationships of job and family involvement, family social support, and work-family conflict with job and life satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 81*(4), 411.
- Bamberger, M. (2012). Introduction to mixed methods in impact evaluation. *Impact Evaluation Notes, 3*(3), 1-38.
- Bröhl, C., Rasche, P., Jablonski, J., Theis, S., Wille, M., & Mertens, A. (2018). Desktop PC, tablet PC, or smartphone?

- An analysis of use preferences in daily activities for different technology generations of a worldwide sample. *Human Aspects of IT for the Aged Population. Acceptance, Communication and Participation: 4th International Conference, ITAP 2018, Held as Part of HCI International 2018, Las Vegas, NV, USA, July 15–20, 2018, Proceedings, Part I* 4, 3–20.
- Brown, J. S., & Duguid, P. (2017). *The social life of information: Updated, with a new preface*. Harvard Business Review Press.
- Carter, M. J. (2014). Gender socialization and identity theory. *Social Sciences*, 3(2), 242–263.
- Carvalho, J., Francisco, R., & Relvas, A. P. (2015). Family functioning and information and communication technologies: How do they relate? A literature review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 45, 99–108.
- Chun Tie, Y., Birks, M., & Francis, K. (2019). Grounded theory research: A design framework for novice researchers. *SAGE Open Medicine*, 7, 2050312118822927.
- Cornejo, R., Tentori, M., & Favela, J. (2013). Enriching in-person encounters through social media: A study on family connectedness for the elderly. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 71(9), 889–899.
- Cravens, D. W., Piercy, N. F., & Shipp, S. H. (1996). New organizational forms for competing in highly dynamic environments: the network paradigm. *British Journal of Management*, 7(3), 203–218.
- De Leeuw, D. (2005). To mix or not to mix data collection modes in surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 21(2), 233.
- Dempsey, L., Dowling, M., Larkin, P., & Murphy, K. (2016). Sensitive interviewing in qualitative research. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 39(6), 480–490.
- Desai, D., Sahu, S., & Sinha, P. K. (2007). Role of dynamic capability and information technology in customer relationship management: A study of Indian companies. *Vikalpa*, 32(4), 45–62.
- Gold, J. (2014). *Screen-smart parenting: How to find balance and benefit in your child's use of social media, apps, and digital devices*. Guilford Publications.
- Hall, J. A. (2020). *Relating through technology: Everyday social interaction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Homans, P. (1995). *Jung in Context: Modernity and the Making of a Psychology*. University of Chicago Press.
- Hornung, J. M. (2015). *Revisiting media richness theory: Social cues impact on understanding in a textual world*. Pace University.
- Kreps, G. L., & Neuhauser, L. (2010). New directions in eHealth communication: opportunities and challenges. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 78(3), 329–336.
- Lavee, Y., McCubbin, H. I., & Olson, D. H. (1987). The effect of stressful life events and transitions on family functioning and well-being. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 857–873.
- Metcalfe, A., Coad, J., Plumridge, G. M., Gill, P., & Farndon, P. (2008). Family communication between children and their parents about inherited genetic conditions: a meta-synthesis of the research. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 16(10), 1193–1200.
- Miller, E. A. (2003). The technical and interpersonal aspects of telemedicine: effects on doctor–patient communication. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, 9(1), 1–7.
- Parke, R. D., & Buriel, R. (2008). Socialization in the family: Ethnic and ecological perspectives. *Child and Adolescent Development: An Advanced Course*, 95–138.
- Quamar, A. H., Schmeler, M. R., Collins, D. M., & Schein, R. M. (2020). Information communication technology-enabled instrumental activities of daily living: a paradigm shift in functional assessment. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 15(7), 746–753.
- Sabatelli, R. M., & Shehan, C. L. (1993). Exchange and resource theories. In *Sourcebook of family theories and methods: A contextual approach* (pp. 385–417). Springer.
- Sbarra, D. A., Briskin, J. L., & Slatcher, R. B. (2019). Smartphones and close relationships: The case for an evolutionary mismatch. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 14(4), 596–618.
- Scabini, E., & Manzi, C. (2011). Family processes and identity. *Handbook of Identity Theory and Research*, 565–584.
- Suh, K. S. (1999). Impact of communication medium on task performance and satisfaction: an examination of media-richness theory. *Information & Management*, 35(5), 295–312.
- Utz, S. (2007). Media use in long-distance friendships. *Information, Communication & Society*, 10(5), 694–713.
- Valkenburg, P. M., & Peter, J. (2007). Online communication and adolescent well-being: Testing the stimulation versus the displacement hypothesis. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(4), 1169–1182.
- Wellman, B. (2001). Physical place and cyberplace: The rise of personalized networking. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 25(2), 227–252.
- Zwass, V. (2003). Electronic commerce and organizational innovation: Aspects and opportunities. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 7(3), 7–37.