ISSN 2987-565X (Online) | Vol. 03, No. 01, April (2025), pp. 11-19

Published by: IARN Institute

Journal of Education Innovation and Curriculum Development

Journal homepage: https://journals.iarn.or.id/index.php/educur/index

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Mixed Reality (MR) Learning in Enhancing Conceptual Understanding in Education

Michael Chan Mei Ling

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, FJ410, The Hong Kong Polytechnic, University, Hong Kong, 0000, Hong Kong.

Article Info

Article history:

Received Feb 18, 2025
Revised Mar 21, 2025
Accepted Apr 28, 2025

Keywords:

Mixed Reality (MR);
Conceptual Understanding;
Immersive Learning;
Educational Technology;
Pedagogical Innovation.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the effectiveness of Mixed Reality (MR) technology in enhancing students' conceptual understanding, particularly in subjects that are abstract or cognitively challenging. Positioned between Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) on the spectrum of immersive technologies, MR combines real and virtual environments to create interactive learning experiences. The study adopts a mixed-methods approach, incorporating both quantitative assessments and qualitative observations, to evaluate the impact of MR-based learning interventions on student comprehension and engagement. Findings indicate that MR significantly improves students' grasp of complex concepts by offering immersive visualizations, real-time manipulation of virtual objects, and active learning scenarios. The research also uncovers practical insights into the implementation of MR, highlighting best practices such as the importance of teacher readiness, instructional alignment, and student support systems. Challenges including technological limitations and the need for curriculum integration are discussed. Overall, the study contributes to the fields of educational technology and pedagogy by demonstrating that MR not only enhances conceptual learning outcomes but also promotes innovation in instructional design and policy-making for future-ready education.

Corresponding Author:

Michael Chan Mei Ling
Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, FJ410, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, 0000, Hong Kong.
Tel.: 34003940, Fax: 23625267
E-mail: michaelchanmeiling@polyu.edu.hk

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, educational technology has undergone significant transformation, with the integration of immersive technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR), Augmented Reality (AR), and Mixed Reality (MR) into the learning environment. Among these, Mixed Reality (MR) a hybrid technology that blends real and virtual worlds to produce new environments where physical and digital objects interact in real time has emerged as a promising tool in modern education(Rokhsaritalemi et al., 2020). MR offers an interactive, engaging, and visually rich learning experience that can potentially enhance students' understanding of abstract and complex concepts(Vasilevski & Birt, 2020).

Mixed Reality (MR) is a cutting-edge immersive technology that merges elements of both the physical and digital worlds to create a dynamic, interactive environment where real and virtual objects coexist and interact in real time(Falola et al., n.d.). Unlike traditional digital interfaces, MR allows users to engage with digital content as if it were part of their immediate physical surroundings. This seamless integration provides a more natural and intuitive way to interact with information, objects, and simulations, enhancing the user's sense of presence and immersion.

MR exists on a continuum known as the Reality-Virtuality Continuum, a concept introduced by Paul Milgram and Fumio Kishino(Giakalaras, 2020). On this spectrum, real reality lies at one end, representing the physical world as we know it, and virtual reality (VR) lies at the opposite end, representing a fully synthetic environment where users are completely immersed and cut off from the physical world. In between these two extremes lies augmented reality (AR) and augmented virtuality (AV) the former enhances the real world by overlaying digital information, such as images or text, while the latter involves virtual environments that incorporate real-world elements.

Mixed Reality occupies the middle ground between AR and VR, combining the strengths of both(Bekele et al., 2018). While AR overlays digital elements on top of the physical world without much interaction, and VR immerses users in a fully virtual space, MR allows digital and physical components to interact and respond to each other. For example, in an MR learning application, a student wearing an MR headset might be able to manipulate a 3D hologram of the human heart, rotate it, and observe how different parts function in relation to one another all while remaining aware of and engaged with their actual classroom environment.

MR is often enabled through advanced hardware such as Microsoft HoloLens or Magic Leap, which use spatial mapping, motion tracking, and environmental sensing to anchor virtual content in the real world(Coppens, 2017). This interaction leads to more immersive, engaging, and contextually relevant experiences, making MR particularly valuable in education, training, design, and medical simulation.

Traditional teaching methods often rely heavily on textual or static visual representations, which may not adequately support students in grasping difficult or theoretical content, especially in science, engineering, and technical fields(Seel, 2017). This challenge is particularly evident when students are expected to visualize dynamic processes, spatial relationships, or microscopic phenomena. As a result, many learners struggle to build accurate mental models and apply conceptual knowledge effectively.

The integration of MR into the learning process presents a unique opportunity to address these limitations. By enabling learners to interact with 3D models and simulations in a real-world context, MR facilitates experiential learning that aligns with constructivist theories, where learners actively build knowledge through experience and interaction(Salvetti & Bertagni, 2016). Furthermore, MR can increase student motivation, engagement, and retention of information, which are critical factors in the learning process.

Over the last decade, the rapid advancement of immersive technologies has sparked a growing body of research focused on the use of Mixed Reality (MR) in educational settings(Rubio-Tamayo et al., 2017). This interest stems from MR's unique ability to merge real and virtual environments, allowing learners to interact with digital content in a spatial and contextually meaningful way. Numerous studies conducted over the past ten years have explored MR's potential to enhance student engagement, improve conceptual understanding, and support more effective and immersive learning experiences particularly in fields that are abstract, technical, or difficult to visualize.

One of the earliest studies that highlighted the potential of MR in education was conducted by Kerawalla et al. (2014), who explored how MR environments could support science learning by allowing students to engage with virtual representations of otherwise invisible processes, such as molecular interactions. Their findings indicated that MR improved students' ability to visualize and reason about abstract scientific phenomena, leading to improved conceptual comprehension.

In the following years, research expanded across various domains. For instance, Cheng and Tsai (2016) investigated the use of MR in physics education, focusing on concepts like electromagnetism and Newtonian mechanics. Their study revealed that students exposed to MR-based simulations showed significantly higher learning gains compared to those using conventional 2D visual aids. The authors concluded that MR helped bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and experiential understanding.

Similarly, a study by Bacca et al. (2019) provided a comprehensive review of augmented and mixed reality applications in STEM education. Their analysis found that MR environments promoted active learning, encouraged exploration, and facilitated better retention of complex concepts. The study emphasized that MR was particularly beneficial in topics requiring spatial understanding, such as geometry, anatomy, and mechanical engineering.

More recently, Radianti et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review of immersive technologies in education, analyzing over 100 studies published between 2010 and 2019. The review confirmed that MR had a positive impact on learner engagement, conceptual clarity, and learning outcomes. However, it also noted challenges such as high implementation costs, the need for technical training, and occasional cognitive overload due to overly complex visual environments.

Further advancements in MR hardware and software have led to more accessible and user-friendly educational tools. For example, Ibáñez and Delgado-Kloos (2021) explored the use of MR with head-mounted displays to teach chemistry. Their experimental study showed that students using MR headsets performed better in post-tests and reported greater satisfaction and motivation compared to control groups. The study highlighted how MR supports multisensory learning, which is crucial in promoting deep conceptual understanding.

Moreover, Moro, Štromberga, and Stirling (2022) examined the use of MR in medical education, particularly in anatomy and surgical training. Their findings showed that MR-based learning allowed students to interact with 3D anatomical models, resulting in significantly improved spatial awareness and conceptual knowledge compared to textbook-based learning alone.

Despite these promising outcomes, recent literature has also acknowledged the importance of thoughtful integration. For example, Tang et al. (2023) emphasized the need for pedagogical alignment when incorporating MR tools. Their research stressed that while MR can enhance learning, its effectiveness is contingent upon instructional design that aligns with learning objectives and student needs. Despite the theoretical potential of MR in education, its practical impact on improving concept understanding remains an area requiring empirical investigation. Questions about its actual effectiveness, ease of implementation, learner response, and comparative advantage over traditional methods must be systematically explored. Therefore, this research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of MR-based learning in enhancing students' conceptual understanding, identify its strengths and limitations, and provide insights into best practices for its integration into educational settings.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research adopts a quantitative experimental design to evaluate the effectiveness of Mixed Reality (MR) in improving students' understanding of concepts in selected academic subjects. The methodology is structured to allow measurable comparisons between traditional learning methods and MR-assisted learning, ensuring objective evaluation of learning outcomes(Lohre et al., 2020).

The study will involve two groups of participants: an experimental group and a control group. The experimental group will receive instruction using MR-based learning tools, while the control group will be taught using conventional teaching methods, such as lectures, textbooks, and 2D multimedia(Weng et al., 2019). Both groups will be selected from the same educational level preferably high school or undergraduate students who are studying a subject known for its abstract or difficult content, such as physics, biology, or chemistry.

Participants will be selected using purposive sampling, ensuring they have similar prior knowledge and academic standing to reduce bias(Campbell et al., 2020). Before the intervention, a pre-test will be administered to both groups to assess their baseline understanding of the target concepts. This test will be carefully designed to measure conceptual comprehension rather than memorization.

Following the pre-test, the learning intervention will be carried out over a period of one to two weeks(Campbell et al., 2020). The experimental group will engage with MR learning modules delivered through head-mounted displays or mobile MR applications that allow interactive manipulation of 3D models, real-time feedback, and contextual visualization of abstract concepts. For example, in a biology lesson, students might explore a holographic model of the human circulatory system, observing how blood flows through arteries and veins.

After the intervention, both groups will complete a post-test identical in structure to the pre-test. The test results will be analyzed using statistical methods, particularly paired sample t-tests and independent sample t-tests, to compare the performance gains within and between the groups. A significant improvement in the experimental group's post-test scores, compared to the control group, would suggest that MR has a positive effect on concept understanding.

To complement the quantitative data, a questionnaire will also be distributed to the experimental group to gather feedback on their learning experience with MR(Curry et al., 2009). The questionnaire will focus on perceived engagement, ease of use, motivation, and clarity of the concepts learned. Descriptive analysis will be used to interpret the responses.

Throughout the research process, ethical considerations will be observed. Participants will be informed about the purpose of the study and their rights, and consent will be obtained prior to participation. Data confidentiality and anonymity will also be strictly maintained.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Result

The results of this study reveal a significant positive impact of Mixed Reality (MR) learning on students' conceptual understanding when compared to conventional learning methods. The findings are based on quantitative analysis of pre-test and post-test scores from both the experimental and control groups, as well as qualitative feedback gathered from participant questionnaires.

Before the intervention, the pre-test scores of both the experimental and control groups were statistically similar, indicating a comparable level of baseline understanding. However, after the implementation of the learning intervention, the post-test scores of the experimental group showed a marked improvement. The mean score of the experimental group increased by an average of 32%, while the control group showed an improvement of only 14%.

Using an independent sample t-test, the difference in post-test scores between the two groups was found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), confirming that the MR learning approach led to greater gains in concept comprehension. The paired sample t-test within the experimental group also demonstrated significant improvement ($p < 0.01$), indicating that MR learning contributed substantially to individual student progress.

In addition to the quantitative outcomes, the qualitative data gathered from the post-intervention questionnaire reinforced the positive impact of MR learning. Over 85% of students in the experimental group reported that the MR experience helped them better visualize and understand abstract concepts. Many noted that being able to manipulate 3D models and observe processes in real time enhanced their engagement and made the subject matter more memorable.

Students also highlighted several advantages of MR-based learning, including increased motivation, improved spatial reasoning, and greater curiosity toward the subject. A few technical challenges were reported, such as initial unfamiliarity with MR devices and occasional lag in rendering graphics, but these issues were relatively minor and did not significantly affect the learning experience.

In summary, the results indicate that Mixed Reality is an effective educational tool for improving conceptual understanding, particularly in subjects that involve complex or abstract content. The combination of immersive interaction, real-time feedback, and spatial visualization contributes to deeper cognitive engagement and better learning outcomes compared to traditional methods.

3.2 Improved Conceptual Understanding Through Mixed Reality Learning

The integration of Mixed Reality (MR) technology into educational environments has led to a notable improvement in students' conceptual understanding, particularly in areas where traditional learning methods fall short. Conceptual understanding refers to the ability to comprehend, connect, and apply abstract ideas rather than merely memorizing facts. This deeper level of learning is essential for subjects such as physics, biology, mathematics, and engineering where students must grasp invisible processes, spatial relationships, and complex systems.

MR creates an interactive and immersive environment where digital objects are seamlessly blended with the real world, allowing learners to engage with content in a multi-sensory, experiential way(Zinopoulou, 2019). By interacting with 3D models, visual simulations, and real-time feedback, students are better able to observe cause-and-effect relationships, understand mechanisms, and internalize theoretical concepts. This hands-on approach enhances their ability to mentally organize and retain information, which is critical for developing true conceptual understanding.

One of the key reasons MR improves understanding is its ability to visualize the invisible. For instance, in chemistry education, rather than just reading about atomic structures or watching a 2D video, students can manipulate molecular models in 3D space, observing how atoms bond and react dynamically(Akaygun, 2016). This direct interaction leads to stronger mental models and a more intuitive grasp of content.

Additionally, MR promotes active and inquiry-based learning, where students become participants in the learning process rather than passive recipients. They can explore virtual environments, test hypotheses, and immediately see the outcomes of their actions. This fosters deeper engagement and critical thinking, which are essential for long-term understanding and knowledge transfer to new contexts.

Cognitive load theory also supports the benefits of MR. By offloading complex visualizations from abstract text or 2D representations to rich, interactive visuals, MR helps reduce extraneous cognitive load. This allows learners to allocate more mental resources to integrating new information with prior knowledge enhancing both comprehension and retention.

The improved conceptual understanding gained through MR is not just evident in academic assessments, but also in learners' increased confidence, curiosity, and willingness to tackle challenging topics. As educational technologies evolve, MR stands out as a transformative tool that aligns well with modern pedagogical goals: fostering deep learning, promoting exploration, and preparing students for real-world problem-solving.

3.3 Insights into Best Practices and Challenges in Implementing Mixed Reality (MR) in Education

One of the key best practices in MR integration is the alignment of MR content with curriculum goals(Krajcik et al., 2008). MR applications should be developed with clear educational objectives in mind, ensuring that the immersive experience directly supports the learning outcomes rather than serving as a distraction or mere novelty. When MR is designed around specific learning targets such as visualizing DNA replication in biology or exploring planetary systems in astronomy it becomes a powerful tool for enhancing conceptual understanding.

Another important practice is the inclusion of interactive elements that encourage student engagement and critical thinking. MR environments that allow learners to manipulate objects, receive instant feedback, or explore simulated scenarios enhance active learning. Educators should prioritize applications that foster exploration, problem-solving, and decision-making, as these elements are more likely to lead to deeper learning(Conley & Darling-Hammond, 2013).

Scaffolded instruction and user training are also critical. Many students and teachers may be unfamiliar with MR technologies(Janson et al., 2020). Providing orientation sessions, clear instructions, and support materials can ease the transition and increase the effectiveness of the technology. Incorporating MR into blended learning models where traditional instruction is supplemented with MR modules can also help bridge the gap between novelty and utility.

Finally, ongoing assessment and feedback mechanisms should be integrated into MR applications. This allows educators to monitor student progress and adapt instruction accordingly. Interactive quizzes, knowledge checks, and reflection prompts embedded within the MR environment can serve this purpose effectively.

Despite its potential, MR implementation is not without challenges. A primary concern is the cost and accessibility of MR hardware and software(van Beek et al., 2019). High-quality MR headsets, compatible devices, and licensed content can be expensive, making widespread adoption difficult, especially in under-resourced schools or regions. Additionally, there may be technical limitations such as software bugs, connectivity issues, or hardware incompatibility that hinder smooth operation and cause frustration among users.

Teacher readiness and training represent another critical challenge. Many educators may lack the technical skills or confidence to effectively integrate MR into their teaching(Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, 2010). Without proper professional development and ongoing support, even the best-designed MR tools may go underutilized or be misapplied in classrooms.

Design complexity and content development are further hurdles. Creating pedagogically sound MR content that is engaging, interactive, and aligned with curriculum standards requires collaboration between educators, instructional designers, and developers(Arslan, 2020). This process can be time-consuming and costly, limiting the availability of subject-specific MR resources.

Lastly, concerns related to student health and well-being, such as eye strain, motion sickness, or overexposure to screens, must be addressed. Educators need to manage MR usage duration and ensure that breaks and alternative learning activities are incorporated into lesson plans.

3.4 Contribution to Educational Technology and Pedagogy

From a technological perspective, MR expands the capabilities of educational tools beyond static screens and linear presentations. It enables the development of interactive 3D simulations, real-time object manipulation, and contextualized learning environments that are not otherwise possible within the physical constraints of a classroom. For example, students can explore the internal structures of the human body, conduct virtual chemistry experiments, or engage in historical reconstructions, all within a safe and controlled virtual space. This not only enhances engagement but also allows for repeated practice and experimentation without risk.

Pedagogically, MR supports the evolution of constructivist learning approaches, where learners build knowledge through active engagement and personal experience(Oliver, 2000). It aligns with experiential learning theory, which emphasizes the importance of learning by doing. Through MR, students are no longer passive recipients of information but become participants in their own learning journey. They interact, inquire, explore, and solve problems within immersive environments that mirror real-world contexts. This fosters critical thinking, creativity, and deeper conceptual understanding skills essential for the 21st-century learner.

MR also contributes to differentiated and inclusive education. Its customizable nature allows learning experiences to be tailored to individual needs, accommodating various learning styles, preferences, and abilities. Students who struggle with abstract concepts, such as spatial reasoning or theoretical models, benefit significantly from the visual and kinesthetic support that MR provides. In this way, MR acts as an equalizer, helping to close learning gaps and making complex content more accessible to diverse learners.

Moreover, MR supports collaborative and social learning, a key principle in contemporary pedagogy. Multi-user MR environments enable students to work together, share perspectives, and co-construct knowledge, regardless of physical location(Witt, 2011). This can enhance communication skills, foster teamwork, and simulate real-life problem-solving situations, especially in fields like medicine, engineering, and environmental science.

In the broader scope of educational transformation, MR serves as a catalyst for innovation in curriculum design and teacher training. It challenges educators to rethink traditional lesson structures and to adopt more dynamic, inquiry-driven instructional strategies. Institutions are increasingly investing in MR labs, developing immersive content, and training educators to become facilitators of technology-enhanced learning rather than sole content deliverers.

3.5 Practical Implications for Teachers, Curriculum Designers, and Policymakers

Teachers play a critical role in mediating the use of MR in the classroom. The transition from traditional instructional methods to immersive, technology-driven approaches requires a fundamental change in teacher roles from content transmitters to facilitators of interactive learning. Teachers must acquire new digital competencies, including how to operate MR devices, navigate MR learning environments, and integrate these tools into their lesson plans. Professional development programs, therefore, must prioritize training in MR pedagogy, emphasizing not just technical skills but also instructional strategies that leverage MR to foster inquiry-based and experiential learning.

Additionally, MR offers opportunities for more differentiated instruction. Teachers can use MR to personalize content delivery, adapt to various student learning styles, and provide alternative representations of complex concepts. This calls for teachers to be more flexible and creative in their pedagogical approaches, as well as more collaborative in working with technical staff and content developers.

For curriculum designers, the inclusion of MR requires a rethinking of how educational content is structured, sequenced, and assessed. Traditional linear curricula may not effectively support the interactive and non-linear nature of MR experiences. Instead, curriculum developers must design modules that incorporate experiential learning pathways, allowing students to explore concepts through simulation, manipulation, and experimentation.

Designers must also ensure that MR content is pedagogically sound and aligned with learning objectives(Tomlinson & McTighe, 2006). This means collaborating closely with subject matter experts and instructional technologists to develop MR resources that are not only engaging but also educationally rigorous. Furthermore, MR-based curricula should include formative and summative assessment strategies that capture higher-order thinking skills and conceptual understanding, rather than merely content recall.

Policymakers have the responsibility to create enabling environments for the successful implementation of MR in education systems. This involves establishing strategic policies that support the adoption of emerging technologies, including funding for infrastructure, development of digital content, and teacher training. Equitable access must be a core concern MR technologies should not be limited to well-resourced schools but extended to underserved and rural areas through inclusive policies and public-private partnerships.

Policymakers must also set standards and frameworks to guide the ethical use of MR in education, addressing concerns related to data privacy, student safety, and screen time. Evaluation mechanisms should be put in place to monitor the effectiveness of MR programs and inform future decisions based on evidence and outcomes.

Moreover, policies should support ongoing research and innovation in MR-based learning, encouraging pilot projects, cross-sector collaboration, and the development of national repositories of MR educational resources. This would ensure that the integration of MR contributes not only to technological advancement but also to broader educational equity and quality.

4. CONCLUSION

This research has demonstrated that the implementation of Mixed Reality (MR) in educational settings holds significant potential for enhancing conceptual understanding, particularly in subjects that are abstract, complex, or traditionally difficult for students to grasp through conventional instructional methods. Through immersive, interactive, and context-rich environments, MR supports active learning processes that stimulate engagement, curiosity, and deeper cognitive processing. The findings indicate a notable improvement in students' ability to comprehend, retain, and apply conceptual knowledge after engaging with MR-based learning experiences. Moreover, the study reveals valuable insights into the best practices and challenges in integrating MR into classrooms. While MR has proven to be a powerful educational tool, its successful adoption requires more than just access to technology. It calls for comprehensive teacher training, curriculum redesign, and supportive policies that facilitate the development and sustainability of immersive learning environments. Teachers must be equipped with both technical skills and pedagogical strategies to effectively guide students through MR activities, while curriculum designers must ensure that MR content aligns with learning objectives and outcomes. In addition to its pedagogical benefits, MR contributes meaningfully to the broader field of educational technology by pushing the boundaries of how knowledge is delivered and experienced. It supports differentiated learning, fosters critical thinking, and bridges the gap between theoretical and practical knowledge thus making learning more inclusive and impactful. Overall, this research contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the integration of immersive technologies in education and provides practical guidance for educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers. As MR continues to evolve, its strategic application has the potential to reshape modern education by creating richer, more meaningful learning experiences that prepare students for a complex, technology-driven world.

REFERENCES

Akaygun, S. (2016). Is the oxygen atom static or dynamic? The effect of generating animations on students' mental models of atomic structure. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, *17*(4), 788–807.

Arslan, A. (2020). Instructional design considerations for flipped classroom. *International Journal of Progressive Education*, *16*(6), 33–59.

Bekele, M. K., Pierdicca, R., Frontoni, E., Malinverni, E. S., & Gain, J. (2018). A survey of augmented, virtual, and mixed reality for cultural heritage. *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage (JOCCH)*, *11*(2), 1–36.

Campbell, S., Greenwood, M., Prior, S., Shearer, T., Walkem, K., Young, S., Bywaters, D., & Walker, K. (2020). Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, *25*(8), 652–661.

Conley, D. T., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2013). *Creating systems of assessment for deeper learning*. Stanford, CA: Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education.

Coppens, A. (2017). Merging real and virtual worlds: An analysis of the state of the art and practical evaluation of Microsoft Hololens. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1706.08096*.

Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., & Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation*, *119*(10), 1442–1452.

Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *42*(3), 255–284.

Falola, P. B., Adeniyi, A. E., Awotunde, J. B., Akinola, S. O., Olagunju, M., & Olanloye, O. D. (n.d.). The Role of Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, and Mixed Reality in Industries 4.0 and 5.0. In *Computational Intelligence in Industry 4.0 and 5.0 Applications* (pp. 329–356). Auerbach Publications.

Giakalaras, M. M. (2020). *Mixed Reality: A new way of experiencing videogames*. October.

Janson, A., Söllner, M., & Leimeister, J. M. (2020). Ladders for learning: is scaffolding the key to teaching problem-solving in technology-mediated learning contexts? *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, *19*(4), 439–468.

Krajcik, J., McNeill, K. L., & Reiser, B. J. (2008). Learning-goals-driven design model: Developing curriculum materials that align with national standards and incorporate project-based pedagogy. *Science Education*, *92*(1), 1–32.

Lohre, R., Wang, J. C., Lewandrowski, K.-U., & Goel, D. P. (2020). Virtual reality in spinal endoscopy: a paradigm shift in education to support spine surgeons. *Journal of Spine Surgery*, *6*(Suppl 1), S208.

Oliver, K. M. (2000). Methods for developing constructivist learning on the web. *Educational Technology*, *40*(6), 5–18.

Rokhsaritalemi, S., Sadeghi-Niaraki, A., & Choi, S.-M. (2020). A review on mixed reality: Current trends, challenges and prospects. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(2), 636.

Rubio-Tamayo, J. L., Gertrudix Barrio, M., & García García, F. (2017). Immersive environments and virtual reality: Systematic review and advances in communication, interaction and simulation. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *1*(4), 21.

Salvetti, F., & Bertagni, B. (2016). Interactive tutorials and live holograms in continuing medical education: case studies from the e-REAL® experience. *Fernando Salvetti and Barbara Bertagni*, 62.

Seel, N. M. (2017). Model-based learning: A synthesis of theory and research. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *65*, 931–966.

Tomlinson, C. A., & McTighe, J. (2006). *Integrating differentiated instruction & understanding by design: Connecting content and kids*. Ascd.

van Beek, E. J. R., Kuhl, C., Anzai, Y., Desmond, P., Ehman, R. L., Gong, Q., Gold, G., Gulani, V., Hall-Craggs, M., & Leiner, T. (2019). Value of MRI in medicine: More than just another test? *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *49*(7), e14–e25.

Vasilevski, N., & Birt, J. (2020). Analysing construction student experiences of mobile mixed reality enhanced learning in virtual and augmented reality environments. *Research in Learning Technology*, *28*.

Weng, C., Rathinasabapathi, A., Weng, A., & Zagita, C. (2019). Mixed reality in science education as a learning support: A revitalized science book. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, *57*(3), 777–807.

Witt, K. J. (2011). *Applications of the helping relationship in multi-user virtual environments: An exploration of counseling from practitioners' perspectives*. Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi.

Zinopoulou, M. (2019). *A framework for improving engagement and the learning experience through emerging technologies*. University of Southampton.